



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/025,085	12/19/2001	Cher Huan Tan	2085-00600 (IME-P002US)	4906
23505	7590	02/23/2004	EXAMINER	
CONLEY ROSE, P.C. P. O. BOX 3267 HOUSTON, TX 77253-3267			NGUYEN, THANH T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2813	//

DATE MAILED: 02/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/025,085

Applicant(s)

TAN ET AL.

Examiner

Thanh T. Nguyen

Art Unit

2813

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 September 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,4 and 5 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-2, 4-5 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Request for Continued Examination***

The request filed on 9/30/03 for a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 based on parent Application No. 10/025,085 is acceptable and a RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.

### ***Election/Restrictions***

Claims 6-20 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 3 and interview dated on 10/29/02.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2813

Claims 1-2, 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <sup>Lin</sup>Lin et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,323,123) in view of <sup>Ding 5981145</sup>Hong (U.S. Patent No.).

Referring to figures 2A-2J, teaches in a via-first dual damascene process involving the use of a low-K dielectric material as an insulation layer on a wafer substrate during the fabrication of an integrated circuit, a method for photolithographic patterning comprising the steps of:

Covering the walls of an aperture (270, figure 2D-2E) etched into an insulation layer (230/235/240/250) on a wafer substrate (200) with a fill-in material (280) for isolating a portion of the insulation layer in the aperture from a photoresist layer (300) deposited thereafter, the fill-in material being chemically inert to the low-K dielectric material of the insulation layer (see col. 5, lines 22-30);

Depositing anti-reflective material (290, see figure 2F, col. 4, lines 49-58) onto the insulation layer for forming a sacrificial layer thereon, and being in contact with the fill-in material (280), wherein the fill-in material (spin on glass) and the anti-reflective material (preventing the glisten of surface) have different material properties (see col. 3, lines 8-25);

Depositing photoresist (300) on the sacrificial layer;

Exposing and developing the photoresist for providing a photoresist mask pattern for subsequent etching the insulation layer (see figure 2F, col. 4, lines 49-58); and

Removing the fill-in material from the aperture (see figure 2G, col. 4, lines 59-67).

Regarding to claim 2, the step of covering the walls of the aperture comprises the step of full filling the aperture (see figures 2E-2F).

Art Unit: 2813

Regarding to claim 4, the step of full filling the aperture comprises the step of full filling the aperture with a solvent based fill-in material (called spin-on-glass, see col. 4, lines 39-48).

Liu et al. teaches the method of forming the dual damascene structure by filling the aperture with solvent based fill-in material to protect the insulation layer from poisoning. However, Liu et al. does not teach the step of full filling the aperture with the solvent based fill-in material comprises the step of full filling the aperture with a water soluble fill-in material such as top antireflective coating and the specific thickness of the antireflective layer (sacrificial layer).

Ding et al. teaches in col. 2, lines 32-65, and col. 7, lines 11-24, using the antireflective coating with water-soluble fill-in material would provide a better image transfer, a low toxicity hazard and easy for handling/transportation in photolithographic patterning method.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the requisite art at the time of the invention was made would using the water soluble fill-in material as taught by Ding et al. in process of Liu et al. because the process would improving photolithographic pattern method by providing better image transfer and low toxicity hazard.

The thickness range of claim are considered to involve routine optimization while has been held to be within the level of ordinary skill in the art. As noted in In re Aller, the selection of reaction parameters such as temperature and concentration would have been obvious:

"Normally, it is to be expected that a change in temperature, or in concentration, or in both, would be an unpatentable modification. Under some circumstances, however, changes such as these may impart patentability to a process if the particular ranges claimed produce a new and unexpected result which is different in kind and not merely degree from the results of the prior art...such ranges are termed "critical ranges and the applicant has the burden of proving such criticality.... More particularly, where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation."

Art Unit: 2813

*In re Aller 105 USPQ233, 255 (CCPA 1955). See also In re Waite 77 USPQ 586 (CCPA 1948); In re Scherl 70 USPQ 204 (CCPA 1946); In re Irmischer 66 USPQ 314 (CCPA 1945); In re Norman 66 USPQ 308 (CCPA 1945); In re Swenson 56 USPQ 372 (CCPA 1942); In re Sola 25 USPQ 433 (CCPA 1935); In re Dreyfus 24 USPQ 52 (CCPA 1934).*

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the requisite art at the time the invention was made would have used any thickness range suitable to the method in process of Liu et al. in order to optimize the process.

### ***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1695, or by Email via address Thanh.Nguyen@uspto.gov. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:00AM to 3:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Whitehead, can be reached on (571) 272-1702. The fax phone number for this Group is (571) 273-1695.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956 (See **MPEP 203.08**).



Thanh Nguyen  
Patent Examiner  
Patent Examining Group 2800

TTN